

# Twistorial constructions of special Riemannian manifolds

Rui Albuquerque

*Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Évora and Centro de Investigação em Matemática e Aplicações (CIMA), Rua Romão Ramalho, 59, 7000 Évora, Portugal.  
rpa@dm.uevora.pt*

## Abstract.

We use twistor theory to describe virtually new constructions of Hermitian and quaternionic Kähler structures on tangent bundles and a  $G_2$  structure on the unit sphere tangent bundle of a Riemannian 4-manifold — fundamental to holonomy theory and subject of deep research in physics.

We interpret “self-holomorphic” complex structures on a symplectic manifold. These complex structures give an interesting set of problems in the first possible dimension, the case of Riemann surfaces, from which should follow some interplay with Teichmüller theory, as well as with  $SL(2)$  connections.

**Keywords:** connections with torsion, Hermitian, quaternionic, Kähler, symplectic,  $G_2$  structures.

**PACS:** 02.40.Ky, 02.40.Tt, 11.25.Hf, 11.25.Mj

## Introduction

Twistor theory can be applied in several situations. With M. Berger’s classification of non-symmetric, locally irreducible Riemannian holonomy groups in mind and looking forward for more recent studies of geometry with torsion, we were lead to the twistorial construction of old and new theories on well known bundles.

Firstly,  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$  holonomy in  $TM$  where  $M$  is any  $2n$ -dimensional almost-Hermitian manifold. Secondly, a  $G_2$  structure in  $SM$ , the unit sphere tangent bundle of a 4-dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$ . The present introduction follows with a sketch of the theory behind the first case. But strongly related to the second is equation (2).

*Quaternionic Kähler structures.* Recall  $\mathbb{H}^n$  with right action of  $Sp(1)$ , which is the model of a quaternionic Hermitian or  $\mathbb{H}$ -module. Its automorphisms constitute a subgroup  $Sp(n) \subset SO(4n)$ . The product subgroup  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$  consists of those isometries  $g$  for which  $g(vw) = g(v)w'$  for any vector  $v$  and scalar  $w \in \mathbb{H}$  and some scalar  $w'$ . One can prove  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$  coincides with  $Sp(n) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Sp(1)$ .

An oriented Riemannian  $4n$ -manifold  $M$  is said to be quaternionic Kähler if its holonomy is inside  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$  (we start with  $n > 1$ ). We are saying  $M$  admits a quaternionic Hermitian structure, ie. there is a  $\mathbb{H}$ -structures on  $T_xM$  smoothly varying with  $x \in M$ . Such global definition means there exist locally three mutually orthogonal almost Hermitian structures  $I, J$  and  $K = IJ = -JI$  generating a global endomorphisms vector bundle associated to  $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ .

As it is well known from holonomy theory, the stated condition corresponds with  $\nabla \mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is (the bundle associated with) the Lie algebra of  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ . As the whole structure arises from the  $Sp(1)$  action,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n) \oplus \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  is closed under  $\nabla$  iff  $\nabla \mathfrak{sp}(1) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1)$  (cf. [19]).

Any two quaternionic triples  $I, J, K, I', J', K'$  on open subsets  $U, U'$ , respectively, and defining the same  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -structure are related on  $U \cap U'$  by a map into  $SO(3)$ . Denoting the 2-form  $\omega_I(X, Y) = \langle IX, Y \rangle$  and  $\omega_J, \omega_K$  analogously, we get a well defined 4-form easily seen not to depend on the choice of  $I, J, K$ ,

$$\Omega = \omega_I \wedge \omega_I + \omega_J \wedge \omega_J + \omega_K \wedge \omega_K. \quad (1)$$

A straightforward computation yields, in the quaternionic Kähler case,  $d\Omega = 0$ .

We recall that  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$  is also proved to be the set of isometries of a  $4n$ -dimensional Euclidian fixed vector space for which a non-degenerate 4-form  $\Omega$  like (1) remains invariant (V. Kraines). Thus the holonomy condition is satisfied if, and only if,  $\nabla \Omega = 0$ . Fortunately, it was proved in [21] that, when  $n > 2$ , the equation  $d\Omega = 0$  is also a sufficient condition for  $Sp(n)Sp(1)$ -holonomy. Counter-examples in dimension  $n = 2$  have been found.

*Hyperkähler manifolds.* A Riemannian manifold is called hyperkähler if its holonomy is in  $Sp(n)$ . In this case we may construct a global quaternionic triple  $I, J, K$ . Now the equation for holonomy reduction  $\nabla \mathfrak{sp}(n) \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n)$  implies reduction to the unitary Lie algebra or simply  $\nabla I \in \mathfrak{u}(2n, I)$  — the orthogonal of  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$  in  $\mathfrak{u}$  is also preserved —, which combined with  $I^2 = -1$  gives  $\nabla I = 0$ . The same must hold for  $J$ , and hence for  $K$ . Reciprocally, from  $\nabla I = \nabla J = 0$  we arrive to hyperkähler holonomy.

As it is well known, the condition is equivalent to the metric on  $M$  being Kähler with respect to each almost complex structure.

*In real dimension 4.* Here we have  $Sp(1)Sp(1) = SO(4)$ . Hence an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold  $M$  has a natural quaternionic Hermitian structure.

Since any triple  $I, J, K$  is identified to an orthonormal basis of the bundle  $\Lambda_+^2$  of self-dual two forms and since  $\nabla * = * \nabla$ , the structure is parallel. If we select a vector field  $U$  with  $\|U\| = 1$ , then the  $\mathbb{H}$ -module structure on  $TM$  is given by

$$X_1 \cdot X_2 = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 - \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle)U + \lambda_1 A_2 + \lambda_2 A_1 + A_1 \times A_2 \quad (2)$$

where  $X_i = \lambda_i U + A_i$ ,  $A_i \in U^\perp$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\langle A_1 \times A_2, A_3 \rangle = \text{vol}(U, A_1, A_2, A_3)$ .

The name quaternionic Kähler is only given if the 4-manifold is self dual and Einstein. Such are the curvature properties of any other quaternionic Kähler metric. A hyperkähler manifold satisfies further strictness: it is self dual and Ricci flat.

## **$TM$ as a Kähler or quaternionic Kähler manifold**

Let  $M$  be any Riemannian manifold and  $D$  any linear metric connection on  $M$ . There exists a canonical *vertical* vector field  $\xi$  defined on the manifold  $TM$ :

$$\xi_v = v, \quad \forall v \in TM, \quad (3)$$

under the identification of  $\pi^*TM \simeq \mathcal{V} = \ker(d\pi : TTM \rightarrow \pi^*TM)$ , where  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  is the canonical projection. The connection  $D$  induces a splitting  $TTM = \mathcal{H}^D \oplus \mathcal{V}$ .

We refer to twistor theory as used in [3, 4], after [18], where a similar canonical section  $\xi$  is defined. We use the results  $X \in \mathcal{H}^D \Leftrightarrow (\pi^*D)_X\xi = 0$ . Essentially, one proves that  $\xi$  varies exactly on vertical directions. Ofcourse,  $\mathcal{H}^D \simeq \pi^*TM$ .

For a given vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_M$  and vector  $u \in T_xM$ , the theory gives us a projection map  $\pi^*D.\xi$  and thus  $(dX(u))^v = \pi^*D_{dX(u)}\xi = (X^*\pi^*D)_uX^*\xi = D_uX$ .

We endow  $TM$  with the canonical connection-induced metric; a metric connection  $D^*$  follows and both tools preserve the above splitting. One may write the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $TM$  as a function of  $\xi$ , of the curvature and of the torsion of  $D$  ([4]).

*First complex structure on  $TM$ .* The following map  $I(X,Y) = (Y,-X)$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{H}^D$ ,  $Y \in \mathcal{V}$  is a compatible almost complex structure on  $TM$ . For the moment we have  $D^*I = 0$ .

**Theorem 1** (partly [10], [4]). (i)  $(TM, I)$  is a complex manifold iff  $D$  is torsion free and flat. If any of these occur, then  $M$  is a flat Riemannian manifold and  $TM$  is Kähler flat. (ii)  $\omega_I$  is closed iff  $D$  is torsion free.

Notice  $\omega_I$  over  $TM$  looks like the natural closed symplectic structure on the (co)-tangent bundle of any smooth manifold. These two are the same iff we consider the Levi-Civita connection of  $M$ .

*Second complex structure, or a pair of them.* Let  $(M, \mathcal{J})$  be an almost Hermitian manifold of  $\dim m = 2n$ . Let  $D$  denote a linear Hermitian connection:  $D\mathcal{J} = 0$ .

We then define two almost complex structures on  $TM$ , denoted by  $J^\pm$ : admiting again the decomposition of  $TTM$  into  $\mathcal{H}^D \oplus \mathcal{V}$  we write

$$J^\pm = \mathcal{J} \oplus \pm \mathcal{J}. \quad (4)$$

We let, as it is usual,  $T^iM$  denote the  $+i$ -eigenbundle of  $\mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 2** ([4]). (i)  $J^+$  is integrable iff  $\mathcal{J}$  is integrable and  $R_{u,v}^D\bar{w} = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v, w \in T^iM$ . (ii)  $J^-$  is integrable iff  $\mathcal{J}$  is integrable and  $R_{u,v}^Dw = 0$ ,  $\forall u, v, w \in T^iM$ . (iii)  $(TM, \omega_{J^\pm})$  is symplectic iff the Hermitian connection  $D$  is flat and its torsion has no totally skew-symmetric part and is  $(3,0) + (0,3)$  with respect to  $\mathcal{J}$ .

*Third complex structure on  $TM$ .* Consider the same setting as above and define  $J$  to be  $J^-$ . Consider also the previous complex structure  $I$ . Then  $K = IJ = -JI$  is a new  $D^*$ -parallel almost complex structure.

**Theorem 3** ([4]). (i)  $K$  is integrable iff  $D$  is flat and torsion free iff  $(M, \mathcal{J})$  is a flat Kähler manifold.

(ii)  $(TM, \omega_K)$  is symplectic if, and only if,  $D$  is torsion free. The same is to say  $(M, \mathcal{J})$  is Kähler.

(iii) Suppose  $n > 2$ . Then the following three assertions are equivalent:  $(TM, I, J, K)$  is a quaternionic Kähler manifold;  $D$  is flat and torsion free;  $(M, \mathcal{J})$  is a flat Kähler manifold. In any one of the previous cases,  $TM$  is a hyperkähler manifold.

A family of quaternionic Kähler structures on  $TM$ . Here we assume we have a  $4n$  manifold  $M$  endowed with a quaternionic triple  $\mathcal{J}_1, \mathcal{J}_2, \mathcal{J}_3$ ; Let  $D$  be the Obata connection: characterized by solving simultaneously  $D\mathcal{J}_i = 0, i = 1, 2, 3$ .

Now let  $I_0 = I$  be the first complex structure on  $TM$  and let  $I_i = \mathcal{J}_i \oplus -\mathcal{J}_i, \forall i = 1, 2, 3$ , as the case  $J^-$  above. Notice  $I_3 \neq I_1 I_2 = -I_2 I_1$ . However, the whole four  $I_i$  anti-commute with each other. Hence for each pair  $a, b \in S^3, a \perp b$ , we have a quaternionic triple  $(I_a, I_b, I_{a,b})$  given by

$$I_x = x_0 I_0 + x_1 I_1 + x_2 I_2 + x_3 I_3, \quad \forall x = a, b, \quad \text{and} \quad I_{a,b} = I_a I_b. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to verify  $I_x^2 = -1$  and  $I_a I_b = -I_b I_a$ . A bundle with fibre the Stiefel manifold  $V_2^4$  may thus be considered.

These structures are again quaternionic Kähler iff  $D$  is flat and torsion free. We have proved that there is a Hopf extension bundle  $\{I_x\} \rightarrow TM$  of the usual  $S^2$ -twistor bundle of a quaternionic Hermitian structure on  $M$ .

*Self-holomorphic complex structures.* Within the theory of bundles of complex structures, we recall the twistor space  $\mathcal{Z}$  of  $\omega$ -compatible  $j$ 's over a symplectic manifold  $M$  with  $\omega$  as the Kähler form. Compatible means  $j \in \text{End } TM$  with  $j^2 = -1$  and for which  $\omega$  is type  $(1, 1)$  and the metric  $\omega(\cdot, j\cdot) \gg 0$ . The study of  $\mathcal{Z}$  was done in [2]. For a Riemann surface  $M$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a Poincaré-disk bundle and the twistor complex structure  $\mathcal{J}^\nabla$  is always integrable. Recall this is induced just from a connection on  $M$  for which  $\nabla\omega = 0$ . So it is a  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ -connection.

We may then think of the *self-holomorphic* sections  $J : M \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$  in any dimension:

$$dJ_x(JX) = \mathcal{J}_{J(x)}^\nabla dJ(X), \quad \forall X \in T_x M. \quad (6)$$

This is equivalent to  $\nabla_{\mathfrak{X}'} \mathfrak{X}' \subset \mathfrak{X}'$ , where  $\mathfrak{X}' = \Gamma(M; T'M)$ . If  $\nabla$  is torsion free, then a self-holomorphic section is integrable. The Gauss curvature of the induced metric is still to be computed.

## **$G_2$ structures**

Recall the exceptional Lie group  $G_2 = \text{Aut } \mathbb{O}$ . The normed division algebra  $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}$  has product given, essentially, by mimic of the product rule of two pairs of real numbers which gives the complex line; or by mimic of the product rule of two pairs of complex numbers which gives the  $\mathbb{H}$ -line (such is the known Cayley-Dickson process, cf. [12]).

The imaginary part of the octonions is a subspace  $\mathbb{R}^7$ , where  $G_2$  acts irreducibly. There is a well defined non-degenerate 3-form on this space:  $\phi(X, Y, Z) = \langle X \cdot Y, Z \rangle$  and  $G_2 = \{g \in SO(7) : g^* \phi = \phi\}$ . These concepts all translate into a special geometry, namely that of 7-dimensional  $G_2$ -structures.

It was shown that an oriented Riemannian 7-manifold with a  $G_2$ -structure, clearly given by any non-degenerate 3-form  $\phi$ , has holonomy in the exceptional group, a priori given by equation  $\nabla\phi = 0$ , if, and only if,  $\phi$  is harmonic – a result of [11]. But less stringent  $G_2$  classes of 7-manifolds with such a structure are given by the *torsion* forms

of  $d\phi$  and  $\delta\phi$ . These are irreducible components under the group action on  $\Lambda^4$  and  $\Lambda^2$  (cf. [9, 11]).

*The unit sphere tangent bundle of a 4-manifold.* We have found one canonical structure of the twistorial kind. Let  $M$  be an oriented Riemannian 4-manifold and let  $SM = \{u \in TM : \|u\| = 1\} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ . Let  $D$  be the Levi-Civita connection on  $M$  generating  $\mathcal{H}^D \simeq \pi^*TM$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \ker d\pi$ . Then  $\mathcal{V}_u \perp u$  inside  $\pi^*TM$  since  $u \in SM$  is the unique vertical direction orthogonal to  $S_xM \subset T_xM$ ,  $x = \pi(u)$ . We then have an octonionic structure on

$$\mathbb{R}u \oplus T_uSM = \mathcal{H}^D \oplus \mathcal{V} \oplus \mathbb{R}u \quad (7)$$

induced by (2), with  $U_u = u$ , and the Cayley-Dickson process (see [3] for details).

To have a closer perception we give a hint of  $\phi$ . Locally, we find a direct o.n. horizontal frame  $e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3$ , with  $e_0$  corresponding to the point  $u$ . The last three vectors have natural correspondents  $e_4, e_5, e_6$  in  $\mathcal{V}$  and thence the following forms are proved to be independent of the chosen frame:

$$\mu = e^0, \quad \beta = e^{14} + e^{25} + e^{36}, \quad \alpha = e^{456}, \quad \alpha_2 = e^{126} + e^{234} + e^{315} \quad (8)$$

and  $\phi = \alpha + \mu\beta - \alpha_2$ .

**Theorem 4** ([2]). (i)  $SM$  is never a  $G_2$  manifold:  $d\phi \neq 0, \forall M$ .  
(ii)  $SM$  is a cocalibrated  $G_2$  manifold, ie.  $\delta\phi = 0$ , if and only if  $M$  is Einstein.

This is joint work with I. Salavessa for which we hope to have further improvements soon, possibly bringing some light on the theory of 4-manifolds.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

For the support of Fundação Ciência e Tecnologia, Portugal, through research center CIMA-Universidade de Évora and the project POCI/MAT/60671/2004.

## REFERENCES

1. I. Agricola and C. Thier, *The Geodesics of Metric Connections with Vectorial Torsion*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 26, (2004) 321-332.
2. R. Albuquerque and J. Rawnsley, *Twistor theory of symplectic manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 52, (2006) 214-246.
3. R. Albuquerque and I. Salavessa, *The  $G_2$  sphere bundle of a 4-manifold*, August 2006, arxiv: DG/0608282.
4. R. Albuquerque, *Hermitian and quaternionic Hermitian structures on tangent bundles*, March 2007, arxiv: DG/0703450.
5. D. V. Alekseevsky and S. Marchiafava, *A twistor construction of (minimal) Kähler submanifolds of a quaternionic Kähler manifold*, preprint of the "Università degli Studi di Roma "la Sapienza" n.23, 2001.
6. D. V. Alekseevsky, V. Cortés and C. Devchand, *Special complex manifolds*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 42 (2002), 85-105.
7. A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg 1987.

8. R. Bielawski, *Complexification and hypercomplexification of manifolds with a linear connection*, Internat. J. Math. 14 (2003), 813–824.
9. R. L. Bryant, *Some remarks on  $G_2$  structures*, Proceedings of the 2004 Gokova Conference on Geometry and Topology (May, 2003).
10. P. Dombrowsky, *On the geometry of the tangent bundle*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 210 (1962), 73–78.
11. M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Riemannian manifolds with structure group  $G_2$* , Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 4 132 (1982), 19–45.
12. R. Harvey and H. B. Lawson, *Calibrated geometries*, Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47–157.
13. S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups, and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, 1978.
14. S. Ivanov and I. Minchev, *Quaternionic Kähler and hyperKähler manifolds with torsion and twistor spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 567 (2004), 215–233.
15. J.-L. Koszul, J.-L. and B. Malgrange, *Sur certaines structures fibrées complexes*, Arch. Math. 9 (1958), 102–109.
16. S. Marchiafava and G. Romani, *Sui fibrati con struttura quaternionale generalizzata*, Annali di Mate. Pura ed Appli. 107 (1976), 131–157.
17. M. Obata, *Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternionic or Hermitian structure*, Jap. J. Math. 26 (1955), 43–79.
18. N. O’Brian and J. Rawnsley, *Twistor spaces*, Ann. of Global Analysis and Geometry 3(1) (1985), 29–58.
19. S. Salamon, *Quaternionic Kähler manifolds*, Inventiones Mathematicae 67 (1982), 143–171.
20. S. Salamon, *Harmonic and holomorphic maps*, in: Geometry seminar “Luigi Bianchi” II, Lecture Notes in Math. 1164, Springer, Berlin (1985), 161–224.
21. A. Swann, *Hyperkähler and quaternionic Kähler Geometry*, Math. Ann. 289 (1991), 421–450.
22. R. Szöke, *Complex structures on tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 291 (1991), 409–428.